

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,443	01/27/2004	Brian David Trotter	1454-C1 (P279P1)	1541	
75	90 01/24/2005		EXAM	INER	
James J. Murphy, Esq.			WILLIAMS, HOWARD L		
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C. P.O. Box 50784		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Dallas, TX 75	201		2819		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/765,433	TROTTER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Howard L. Williams	2819			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	✓ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.✓ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(c)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,443 Your Reference: 1454-C1 (P279P1)

Art Unit: 2819

The references to the related applications mentioned on page one of the description should be updated to reflect their status and delete the attorney docket numbers.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 4, 5, 7, 8 and 13-15 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15 of copending Application No. 10/662,788. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-12 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyomaki (US 5,008,675). Toyomaki discloses a PWM DAC which produces first and second PWM encoded data streams A and B with controlled earlier and later timing of the edges in order to produce the final analog signal with higher precision and better resolution, i.e. minimized distortion (col. 3, line 10). In the figures Toyamaki shows an adder 6 for adding the PWM signals, in column 8 line 65 Toyamaki discloses that the figure 2 arrangement can be realized using an analog adder. Thus,

Application/Control Number: 10/765,443 Your Reference: 1454-C1 (P279P1)

Art Unit: 2819

although not showing separate digital to analog conversion elements Toyamaki suggests their presence. Masuda in a parallel two path PWM DAC employs two low-pass filters (25, 26) to convert the PWM waveforms to analog form and subsequently sum them. Masuda also discloses the uses of oversampling and noise shaping circuitry (11, 12) at the input demonstrating the well recognized use of oversampling and PWM DACs because of their low complexity. It would have been obvious to incorporate the oversampling and noise shaping and simple continuous time DAC elements shown in Masuda into the Toyamaki PWM converter because the recognized low complexity circuitry requirements for conversion.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Leuthold (US 3,521,170) discloses digital transversal filtering using a shift register and resistive weighting elements to provide the filtering and conversion from digital to analog.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Howard L. Williams at telephone number 571.272.1815. The Patent and Trademark Office has a new central facsimile number for application specific correspondence intended for entry, it is 703-872-9306.

4/16/04 Voice 571.272.1815 Howard L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819